

WIWSA Quarterly Member Meeting







PFAS Update

- Toilet paper
- MCLs and Hazard Indexes
- Public Participation Grant Status



About that Toilet Paper

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Toilet Paper and the Impact on Wastewater Systems | Environmental Science & Technology Letters (acs.org)

"In this study, both toilet paper and wastewater sludge were characterized to explore the magnitude of the potential PFAS loading into wastewater systems from toilet paper.

In both toilet paper and wastewater sludge, 6:2 fluorotelomer phosphate diester (6:2 diPAP) was the most prevalent PFAS detected, and toilet paper usage was estimated to contribute from 6.4 to 80 μ g/person-year of 6:2 diPAP to wastewater–water systems.

Our results suggest that toilet paper should be considered as a potentially major source of PFAS entering wastewater treatment systems."



Is PFAS from Toilet Paper Contaminating our Drinking Water?

- PFAS in TP: 6.4 to 80 µg/person-year of 6:2 diPAP
- Domestic water consumption: approx. 150,000 liters/year
- Calculated concentration of 6:2 diPAP in septic effluent: 0.04 0.5 ng/l (parts per trillion)
- Population of Island County: approx. 80,000
- PFAS in a year's worth of Island County toilet paper: 0.5 6.4 g
- Equivalent to the PFAS in 10-100 ml of AFFF concentrate
- PFAS is toilet paper is not great, but we need to focus on major sources



2023 EPA Proposal to Regulate PFAS in Drinking Water

State vs. federal numbers for PFAS in Drinking Water (ng/L or parts per trillion)						
	EPA Health					
Individual Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for 2 PFAS	WA State Action Levels (2021)	Advisory Levels (2022)	EPA proposed MCL (2023)			
PFOA (perfluorooctanoic acid)	10	0.004 *	4			
PFOS (perfluorooctane sulfonic acid)	15	0.02 *	4			
Hazard Index for group of 4 PFAS			HBWC used to calculate a ratio**			
PFNA (perfluorononanoic acid)	9	-	10			
PFHxS (perfluorohexanesulfonic acid)	65	-	9			
PFBS (perfluorobutane sulfonic acid)	345	2,000	2,000			
GenX (hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid and its ammonium salt)	-	10	10			
*These are interim HALs.						

**Health-based water concentration (HBWC) are the "acceptable" values used to create a ratio of observed/acceptable for each of 4 PFAS. If the ratios add up to more than 1.0, action must be taken to lower PFAS in the drinking water.



MCL and Hazard Index: Example

			Proposed		Results,	Hazard	SAL	MCL	HI
PFAS	PFAS Name	SAL ng/l	MCL, ng/l	HBWC	ng/l	Index	Exceedance?	Exceedance?	Exceedance?
	(PFOA) PFoctanoic								
PFOA	acid	10	4		3.45		No	No	
	(PFOS) PFoctane								
PFOS	sulfonic acid	15	4		79.9		Yes	Yes	
	(PFNA) PFnonanoic								
PFNA	acid	9		10		0.00			
	(PFHxS) PFhexane								
PFHxS	sulfonic acid	65		9	63.9	7.10			
	(PFBS) PFbutane								
PFBS	sulfonic acid	345		2000	17.9	0.01			
GenX	GenX Chemicals			10		0.00			
ні	Hazard Index					7.11			Yes

tion

PFAS SAL, MCL, and HI Exceedances in Island County

PWSID	System Name	Analyte Name	Result Qty, ng/l; unitless	SAL Exceedance?	MCL/HI Exceedance?
31440	HARRINGTON LAGOON WATER ASSN	(PFOS) PFoctane sulfonic acid	79.9	Yes	Yes
31440	HARRINGTON LAGOON WATER ASSN	Hazard Index	7.11	No	Yes
61750	NORTHGATE TERRACE COMMUNITY CLUB	Hazard Index	0.93	No	Yes
88215	Whispering Pines Homeowners Coop	(PFOA) PFoctanoic acid	4.35	No	Yes
88215	Whispering Pines Homeowners Coop	(PFOS) PFoctane sulfonic acid	4.95	No	Yes
88215	Whispering Pines Homeowners Coop	Hazard Index	3.49	No	Yes







Cleanup process -Washington State **Department of Ecology**

You are

here

Discoverv

Report potential contamination to Ecology.

Determine if contamination requires further action.

based on exposure potential and severity of hazard.

Determine the nature and extent of contamination.

• Describe Ecology's selected cleanup action, including:

• Create detailed design and construction documents

• Complete the cleanup action. Examples of

Monitoring and Site Use Controls Monitor and do on-going operations/maintenance.

• Treating contamination in place.

Reviews and De-listing

standards and requirements.

Initial Investigation

Site Hazard Assessment

Remedial Investigation

Feasibility Study

Cleanup Action Plan

Schedule of next steps.

Engineering Design

for the cleanup action.

Clean up the site!

cleanup actions include:



DEPARTMENT OF Washington's Formal Cleanup Process



Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Ecology enacts MTCA and regulates the cleanup process.

Washington's

Cleanup Law

Ecology Publication 19-09-166





Public Participation Grant Update

- Grant awarded
- Presented to Evergreen Rural Water of Washington
- DOH Forum Planning Team
- Ecology ERTS process
- Working on funding for testing private wells
- Public meetings in second quarter
- Applying for next grant round

